



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| . 09/874,488   | 06/05/2001            | Thomas A. Gregg      | POU998109US2            | 6420             |  |
| 23405 7  | 23405 7590 06/03/2004 |                      |                         | EXAMINER         |  |
| HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE |                       |                      | KANG, PAUL H            |                  |  |
| ALBANY, N  |                       |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,  |                       |                      | 2141                    |                  |  |
|  |                       |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004 | 8                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | fill.   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/874,488   | GREGG   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Paul H Kang  | 2141  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with th  | e correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO | e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M   | arch 2004.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are rejected.  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   |  | ne Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | ion is required if the drawing(s) is   | objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Off  | ice Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | 9(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  | promy and a color of 7 and   | (4) (2) (1).  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |  | cation No.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  |  | <del></del>   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | -   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not rece   | ived.   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summ  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:  | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/874,488

Art Unit: 2141

-

- Claims 1 and 2 were previously cancelled and claims 3-7 were newly added (paper no.
   Claims 3-7 are now pending.
- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,681,254, and claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,693, 880. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is the same as the context of the patented invention.

Ì

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6123. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul H Kang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2141